



ELECTIONS COMMITTEE

Student Government Association

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT FORM

As per Chapter 711 of the Elections Code, any student shall be able to submit complaints about Elections Code violations and shall be responsible for representing his or her position in any possible resulting hearing.

- However, pursuant to Chapter 711.1ai of the Elections Code, the Chief Counsel shall be the only person permitted to submit complaints on behalf of his or her respective campaign.

The Elections Committee must act on all complaints within two (2) school days after they are received, or by any deadline for action assigned by the Elections Code, either by dismissing them or by calling a hearing.

The Elections Committee may dismiss a complaint for the following reasons:

- If the complainant does not file the complaint in a manner that all hearings and deliberations will be completed, and all sanctions and remedies will be assigned, by the certification of the General Election Results on Wednesday, Feb. 25th, at 5 p.m. (or by the certification of the Run-Off Election Results on Friday, Feb. 27th, at 5 p.m. if applicable).
- If the Elections Committee lacks jurisdiction over a subject or a party in the dispute.
- If the complaint fails to state a cause of action for which relief may be granted.
- If the complaint is not submitted in good faith and is primarily for the purpose of harassment.
- For lack of evidence or refusal of the complainant to testify or elaborate via hearing.
 - Any person who files a complaint shall be required to attend the possible resulting hearing.
 - A candidate/Ticket member(s) shall not be required to attend any hearing so long as this candidate/Ticket member(s) has a Chief Counsel who attends and represents the campaign instead.

Hearings shall be formatted in a way that all parties are represented equally and that the information necessary to make a decision is provided.

All decisions by the Elections Committee must be clearly reasoned and explained using citations from the Elections Code, and/or any other governing documents of the Student Government Association.

The complaining party shall bear the burden of proof.

Ignorance of the Elections Code, either by candidates, members of a Ticket, staff members, or by members of the Elections Committee, shall not be an acceptable defense in response to any offense committed in any election governed by the Elections Code.

A campaign's Legal Team, led by the Chief Counsel, shall represent the campaign in any case, hearing, appeal before the Elections Committee and/or the Supreme Court. More information about regulations on Legal Representation in hearings and appeals can be found in Chapter 711.4 of the Elections Code.

No person appearing before the Elections Committee or Supreme Court may knowingly or willfully make any false statement or representation, knowingly or willfully create or present false or doctored evidence, conceal any fact, obstruct (or endeavor to obstruct) the activities or investigations of the Committee or Court, or obstruct (or endeavor to obstruct) any disciplinary action imposed.

The Elections Committee shall hold the ability to request attendance of specific individuals in hearings to take necessary action to enforce the Elections Code.

A decision or ruling is reached when a majority of the Elections Committee concurs with such a decision or ruling.

The Elections Committee has the discretion to take any action that is deemed appropriate with regard to any complaint.

Any original complainant/defendant of a hearing may petition the Supreme Court to hear their case for an appeal of the decision of the Elections Committee if the petitioning party believes that the Committee did not adhere to the Elections Code and/or other governing documents in making its decision. This request for appeal must be submitted to Chief Justice Etinosa Ogbomo (Etinosa.Ogbomo@uga.edu@uga.edu) within 24 hours of the Elections Committee's release of its decision.

The Supreme Court may reject an appeal for failure to cite the Elections Code, lack of standing, lack of jurisdiction, or failure to file the complaint with adherence to deadline requirements.

More information regarding the formatting of and procedure by which a hearing or appeal operates can be found in Chapter 711 of the Elections Code.

The Complaint Form can be found on and after the third page of this document, and those pages are the only pages required with submission.



ELECTIONS COMMITTEE

Student Government Association

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT FORM

Full Name: Nishtha Mayani

UGA Email Address: nvm35121@uga.edu

Telephone Number: (678) 756-5564

If you are filing on behalf of an Executive Ticket or individual candidate, please indicate said Ticket/campaign:
Reimagine

Cause of action and time of occurrence (if over 48 hours have passed you must provide an explanation for the delayed submission):

Formal complaint against Amplify executive ticket for using a campaign ID mark on social media platforms that was not approved by the Elections Committee.

Screen shot taken of Amplify's instagram profile photo on 2/16/2026. Screen shot taken of another group's usage of Amplify's non-approved campaign ID mark on 2/17/2026. Screen shot taken of Amplify's approved campaign ID mark on 2/16/2026.

Article(s) Violated (please include which governing document(s) was violated, as well as specific section numbers):

Elections Code 705.3 f.

“Physical campaign materials must possess an identifying mark pre-approved by the Elections Committee; failure to include this mark shall result in removal of the offending material.”

Explanation (please be as detailed as possible; these details will be necessary in making a fair and accurate ruling on the case):

Campaigning material is defined within Election Code Article 705.3 a., “Campaign material” includes advertisements, documents, or other mediums intentionally disseminated to promote the electoral prospect of a particular candidate, Ticket, or other electoral matters. This means that instagram profiles are a part of campaigning materials due to the fact they are a medium to promote the electoral prospect of a particular ticket.

According to Election Code Article 705.3 f., executive tickets must submit an identifying mark to be pre-approved by the elections committee to be on all campaigning materials. Amplify submitted and was approved for a campaign ID mark that shows a red background, white letters with the word “Amplify”, and the letter “y” holding a microphone (Exhibit A). Amplify, however, was not approved for a campaign ID mark that shows a red background with a microphone (Exhibit B). Considering that these two exhibits are clearly two different ID marks means that both of these marks would need to be pre-approved by the elections committee before being put on campaigning materials. Amplify did not get the ID mark they are using (Exhibit B) cleared by the Elections Committee meaning that Amplify put a non approved ID mark upon their campaigning material (instagram profile).

Additionally, this unapproved campaign ID mark is being used by other groups as an identifying feature of the Amplify campaign. Given that the Election Committee must approve of the campaign ID mark prior to the start of campaigning, it is improper that the Amplify ticket is able to use their unapproved campaign ID mark and that other organizations and groups of people can help disseminate the unapproved campaign ID mark publicly (Exhibit C).

The Amplify campaign has demonstrated their understanding and acknowledgement of the Elections Timeline and the Elections Code through their statement of intent. As detailed within the Elections Code Article 711.3 i. “Ignorance of the Elections Code, either by candidates, members of a Ticket, staff members, or by members of the Elections Committee, shall not be an acceptable defense in response to any offense committed in any elections governed by this Code.” By submitting their statement of intent, Amplify agreed to the terms in the Elections Code, and claiming to not know the Elections Code as a defense is improper as detailed in Elections Code Article 711.3 i.

There are clear codes to be followed when it comes to having a campaign ID mark upon all campaigning materials. Amplify had all the information as well as the ability to get the correct ID mark approved, but still put a non-approved mark on their instagram page. It is incredibly detrimental to break the codes in which an election is built upon, and why Amplify should be required to follow the codes as stated.

Evidence/Supporting Documents (may be included at the end of this Form or on the submission page on the Involvement Network as an attachment; please label each item as “Exhibit A, B, C, etc.” and refer to these items as such in your explanation)

Exhibit A: A screenshot taken on 2/17/2026 which shows the approved ID mark that the Amplify Ticket sent into the election committee.



Exhibit B: A screenshot taken on 2/17/2026 which shows the unapproved ID mark that Amplify is using for their campaign.



Exhibit C: Usage of the unapproved campaign ID mark that is being shown to the student body by other groups.

9:30

[Posts](#) [Follow](#)

athens.arch.nemesis
University of Georgia



Student Government candidates collaborate to make most similar platforms possible

  

the ARCH NEMESIS

Liked by [spencerschoenberg](#) and others

athens.arch.nemesis The investigative team of The Arch Nemesis has uncovered a conspiracy to confuse the voters of UGA in this year's Student Government Association election. Despite claims to the contrary, there are in fact two distinct platforms running, although sources inside the campaigns have revealed their plans to confuse voters by employing as similar of marketing strategies as possible.

By signing and submitting this Form, it is hereby agreed to by the signatory that:

- I understand that this Complaint is a public document and shall therefore be available for public inspection, but that all contact information shall be redacted to respect the privacy of the Chief Counsel, and that all information regarding any personal information or the involvement of any third-party individual(s) that is not intended to be public knowledge shall be subject to redaction by the Elections Committee in order to protect the privacy of said involved individual(s).
- I understand that, if my initial Complaint is denied by the Elections Committee, or if I do not believe that the Elections Committee has adhered to the [Elections Code](#) or other governing documents, I have the ability to petition the Supreme Court of the Student Government Association for an appeal of the decision of the Elections Committee.
- I affirm that all information reflected, and all evidence included, in this Complaint is accurate and truthful, and that I have not knowingly or willfully:
 - Made any false statement or representation; or,
 - Created or presented any false or doctored evidence; or,
 - Falsified or concealed any fact through any form of deception.
- I affirm that I will not knowingly or willfully:
 - Obstruct, or endeavor to obstruct, any investigation or proceedings of the Elections Committee; or,
 - Obstruct, or endeavor to obstruct, any disciplinary action imposed.
- I affirm that, as Chief Counsel, I, or the candidate(s) or a registered individual on the Legal Team acting as Chief Counsel, shall attend any hearing that results from the filing of this Complaint, and that failure to do so may result in dismissal of this case as per Chapter 711.3fi of the Elections Code. I understand that, if I am not affiliated with a campaign, or if am not submitting this Complaint on behalf of a campaign, I shall still be required to attend any hearing that results from the filing of this Complaint, and that failure to do so may result in dismissal of this case as per Chapters 711.3f of the Elections Code.

SIGNATURE OF CHIEF COUNSEL*. Nishtha Mayani

DATE 2/17/2026

**Or, signature of submitter (if submitter is not affiliated with or submitting on behalf of a campaign)*