



# ELECTIONS COMMITTEE

## Student Government Association

This letter serves as an **official notice of a decision** from the 2026 Elections Committee. At 11:07p.m. on Tuesday, Feb. 17, Chief Counsel Nishtha Mayani filed an Official Complaint Form against the Amplify Executive Ticket (“Respondent”) on behalf of the Reimagine Executive Ticket (“Complainant”). On Wednesday, February 18th at 11:16a.m., the Elections Committee voted unanimously to hold a hearing. The hearing took place on Wednesday, February 18th from 8:43p.m. to 9:20p.m. The Elections Committee discussed the hearing from 10:25p.m. until 10:38p.m before coming to a unanimous decision for dismissal.

### Summary of the Complaint:

The Reimagine Ticket filed a complaint against the Amplify Ticket citing there was a violation of Elections Code 705.3f for using an ID mark not approved by the Elections Committee on social media platforms.

### Elections Code 705.3.f:

*Physical campaign materials must possess an identifying mark pre-approved by the Elections Committee; failure to include this mark shall result in removal of the offending Material.*

Amplify submitted a Campaign ID mark (Exhibit A) to the Elections Committee page on Feb. 10th at 1:03pm. Reimagine filed a complaint on the grounds that Amplify’s Instagram profile picture and stickers (Exhibit B & D) show a microphone behind the filed Campaign ID mark. The Elections Committee found that since the ID mark is present there has been no violation of the code. The code cites “failure to include the mark” would constitute a removal of the items and violation of the code, however, nowhere does it mention that the identifying mark cannot be transposed onto different formats, aspect ratios, or color backgrounds.

The Elections Committee reflected on the precedent of the campaign ID mark from the 2023, 2024, and 2025 Elections Drives. Several campaigns placed their ID mark onto different backdrops, using a transparent backdrop, or altering the color of the background without filing each individual application of the campaign ID mark to the Elections Committee. Since the former ID marks, as well as Amplify, did not alter the campaign ID mark they filed (in this case the word “Amplify” including the microphone as the Y) the Elections Committee did not find that their campaign material they created failed to include the proper mark.

Decision of the Committee:

Based on the evidence provided by Complainant, and following a private deliberation process, **the Elections Committee decided to dismiss the complaint of this case per Section 705.3.f as the ID Mark filed to the Elections Committee is present on all campaign material.** Furthermore, the Elections Committee finds there is no expectation for tickets to file new campaign ID marks each time they use their logo in different mediums so long as the ID mark itself does not change.